G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L-R 83/24

10-1-90

~~192~~

(L-R)

Later references.

File o. 72

Serial Nos.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. [illegible]

Part B for October 01

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Nomadhpal of Bajagaikalan Below Section 200 - Re Khoranagar office of Bikrakhan village.

SC. 1259, 21-12-1901. To Honorable [illegible]

[illegible]

Countersigned

WD [illegible] 50,000- 11-6-20

1st Memo

Memo

The appellant represents

The Shanbhog of
the Hen Kolale & Cheekolale
Fer Kas Consisting of 9
villages in all in the
Jagire Holla Belur Taluk
in his hereditary Right.

In the matter of
the Right having been
taken away from her
brother & given to a
stranger he (Petr) has
been urging his claim
forward since 1885.

In the Office Enqr.
Dated 13.5.90 ~~Date~~
No: 195 Petitioner was
informed that his
petition had been sent
to the Dy Com for Dis
-posal by endorsement

That he addressed
several petitions subse-
quently

to the District, whereupon he was directed to present himself before the Magistrate on 21 July 1890 that he accordingly attended the Kachcheri but nothing was settled

An Order has been issued received from the District Office to the effect that the Board of the Hiri Kolale scale consisting of 5 villages has been entered in the name of the Petitioner's son Sri Kandaiya that a Gumasta should be provided within 7 days, that Rs 120 per mensem has been appointed to the Chickolale scale in accordance with Govt. Order No: 3100/120 dated 28th August 1885; and that the Petitioner has no right to this Office - vide notice given by Talpe of 11th June 1891.

The Petitioners represent
factions as regards
the (Chickolale) Scale
consisting of 4 villages
have not been
opposed by the Dist
Petitioner therefore
now prays that
his ancestral hak
(of Chickolale)
Scale may be allowed
to him

The Petitioner produces
copies of the following
documents —

Copy of a deed of
gift of the above
villages in favor
of Petitioners father
Bhenkaraya

Copy of Memorandum
Petition of 25.8.90
to the Asst. with his
report thereon made
by the latter.

Copy of Order
finally given by the
Dy Comr. on

15th May 1891. - 2081.

Petitioner's father
(deceased) enjoyed the
said for 30 years.
& Petitioners brother
for 15 years

Petitioner's father
Shri Shambhoji in
his life & Defendants
son (Daughters
Shri Gopal Lakoba
(Petrs father's
maternal uncle)

Petitioner
Petitioner
prays that the
present incumbent
may hereafter be
removed from
office & his (Petrs)
son Sri Kanhoji
appointed. Thereby
work being taken
from Petitioners
son-in-law
Venkat Subbaya

7

a report should
be made regarding
the fitness of the
premises to be
produced by Hon-
nappa

Chilkur Sham-
bhog Duggaiya
has sent in petitions
regarding the shop
shop that he
should be informed
that his claim
cannot be decided
here now.

A report should
be separately sub-
mitted under the
Stamp Act as regards
papers nos 92 29.

8 15-5-91

The Appellant in
this claim the
Shambhog-kale
of the Chocolate Factory

Nil 10-
need not
be read

Confirmed in his
Name of Memorandum
in Govt: Order No
3100/120 dated 28th
August 1885

The Vernacular
Correspondence subse-
quent to 1885 has
not been received.
Note to be called for
if required. Order
solicited as to
whether they should
be sent for.

N
17/3/92

× Rettt

of Narrenyar as Hakdar Shanbhog of Mi Chikkolale Forka was confirmed by Govt: in Order No: 3100/120 Dated 28th August 1885

× |

When Mi Sub-Division Officer appointed Narrenyar & Mi Chikkolale Forka Viappaiya appeals & Mi Dewan, thereupon it was ordered that Viappaiya should be registered as Hakdar and warned to appoint a Sumasta and that Narrenyar might be confirmed as Sumasta with Viappayas assent. (vide Govt. order no: 728 dated 11th July 1885:) Meanwhile Viappaiya was also convicted of criminal breach of trust & Narrenyar was act. confirmed as stated above.

9. This was mortgaged to Govt.

In 1887 the Appellant× claimed the Shanbhogship

× Vide Govt. Order

of both the Tarkas as Hakdar and wanted the Barwara to be entered in his sons name. After some Correspondence the Deputy Commissioner passed an order on 15th May 1891 stating that the appellant has no claim to the Chik Kolale Tarka as regularly and has lost hak entirely, & that harmless cur could not be disturbed and directing that as the Appellant & Vir appeared divided brothers the Shambhogi of the Kolale Tarka should be registered in the name of the Appellants minor son Chenna Keshavaya alias Sri Kantaraya.

The appeal is against the above order as far as the Chik Kolale Tarka is concerned

14

The appellant contends -
(1) that he is Hakdar
of the Chikkolale Taluka
also, as the 9 villages
forming the Hirekolale
& Chikkolale Firkas
had been originally
given away in favor
of his father Bhaska-
ranja by the mater
nal uncles of the
latter, that
(2) that his father Diri
& his
work for 30 years
years.
(3) that he is Hakdar
in the line of a
daughter's son (Dau
hitra)

Issue 2

The appellant
produces in support of
his allegation
a deed of
gift dated 10 Magha
M.E. of the year Jaya
(1834-35), and

a petition addressed
by the Deceased
Shanbhog Nanjundaya
to the Amildar of
the Belur Taluk,
praying that the
Appellants prayer
might be granted

From the Dy Com^rs
order appealed against
it is clear that the
Kaki' was in the
enjoyment of Nanjundaya
this father, who belonged
to the Donor's family
& that Nanjundaya
at last lost the
Kaki' entirely &
Narasiengar was
appointed Shanbhog

=

15th Oct dated

Ex Juris order
Aug^t 85
to
May 91

D C

16

Memo

Submitted to the council with previous records

VL
18/6/92

Post for hearing.
Train notice to Bolt, Burd & Co
21/1/93. AM
Post for hearing on 20th April/93

17

Petition No 1206 of 1892.

Petitioner - Hannappa

Ist Petr: Narrenya

Notices Served
BR 1874

20-4-93

Petr by Mr Venkatarama

Ist Petr by Mr Ramachandra Iyer

The dispute is in regard to the Shanbhogship of Chik Kodala Fasha of Belur Tk
Both Narrenchah and
Venpiah who were the holders
Venpiah were convicted of two
committed Court thereby of Grant
and forfeited their hak. The deceased
appellant who is Venpiah's brother
a
~~and who was an Engineer~~
~~of a~~ pursued a claim to the
Office in 1887. ~~which was rejected on~~
the ground that his father Khatlah
claimed the Office on petition from
his ~~adoptive~~ maternal grand father
Pattasawah this was - The alleged
gift even if true was not effective

and the present
Petn was confirmed
in the Shanbhogship
by an order of Govt 28 Apl
1885 No.

Appellant ~~and~~ has ~~a~~ failed to prove
satisfactorily that Nampundrak
and ~~Kunjuvalappil~~ his father were
in possession ~~Enjoyment~~ of the
plaint property for more than 60 years
prior to 1885 when Kamalan became
the Karnavan. The present claim
of appellant Nampundrak is therefore
unsustainable. The appeal is
dismissed.

20/12/39

19

Memo connected with the Chikolé Firka Shanbogi, Belur Taluk.

Nungundiah Shanboque of the Chikolé Firka, was convicted of criminal misappropriation and Veerappagya Shanboque of the Hirekole Firka was registered as the Hakdar[x] of this Firka also, but the office was refused him as he was already Shanboque of Hirekole and one Narainappa was acting in the

[x] Pro. No. 728 & 11-7-85.

Chikolé Tika. Later on Veerappayya also was charged of crimin- nal misappropria- tion, and his right to the two Tikas was cancelled and Nara- narayanpur was con- firmed X in the Chikke Tika and a Sumasta was ordered to be appointed in place of Veerappayya for the Chikole Tika. The said Naranarayanpur was acting there. Subsequently one Honnappa Shekdar of Samivarsante Hobli Belur Taluk, and

X Govt order Rs. 3100/120 & 28th Aug 85

No 81 of 15-5-91.

An order was issued from the District Office, Hassan, conferring Hukkle Tuka a Honnabba's minor son Srikantiah and informing Honnappah that he had no right to Chikka Tikkah as it was confirmed on Nara-na Iyengar by Sort order, Nanjundiah having lost his right by committing criminal offence. Veerappagga also was afterwards dismissed with right

for communal misappro
priation; though
Somappa was Veerap
-paya's elder brother
they were divided
brothers. Hence in
the said order Bom
-appa's son was
recognised as the Hak
=dar and to Hunkole
and Somappa
was ordered to
present his formulas
for examination
as to fitness. As the
latter did not go
to the Dy Commr
there was delay in
confirming the
order

order.

In the meantime Bommappa petitioned to this office praying to restore him to the Hakdari of the Chikka Tirka also. ~~He has produced~~. His grounds of appeal are that his father Bhaskarayya enjoyed the Hak for 30 or 40 years, his brother Veerabhayya for 15 yrs, and that his a dohi - tra relation of the original Hakdar Sankarayya who is his father's mater - nal uncle. He prays that Narana Gyngan may be removed and

his mirasdar restored
to the right of the two
Fikas, with his son-
-in-law Venkataramana
-nayya as gumasta.
The records connected
with the case were
~~&c~~ called for. From
these records we see
that the two Fikas
were given[x] away to
Bhaskaranayya (Donor's
sister's son) by Shanboga
Shamkaranayya, Durgaya
& Puttayya. Owing
to the straitened cir-
-cumstance of the
Donor's family (Durgaya's
family) a portion
- Chikke Fika -
by Bhaskaranayya
was given to Nanjappa

[x] see copy of a deed
Subd with Hanumappa's
appeal.

37

By

Sons of Dugayya. His
portion subsequently
came to Nunjundia
and Nanjappah.
Nanjundiah's brother
Dugayya also claim
-ed Hak to Chikdé
Anka, but his applica
tion was rejected by
the Dy Comm: on
the ground that
it cannot be investi
gated "in Phoot"
Honnappah and
Veerappayya are
sons of Bhaskarayya.

28

No. 78. (12)

13-5-90

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 198
dated 29th April/90 received at the
Dewan's Office from Hounciah Shetty
of Seevarasunte Hobli
Belur Taluk,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the D/Commissioner
Hassan District for
disposal and endorsement
to the petetioner.

Drafted as per
Ch Secty's order

By Order,

BANGALORE,
6th May 1890.

For Chief Secretary

13-5-90

29

1174 (13)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3003
dated 10 " March 189/ received at the
Dewan's Office from Honnah
S/o Baskarnath
Jagare
Belur Taluq

A.R 72-87-2

is forwarded, together with its
enclosures, to the D/Commr
Hassan District
in continuation
of his Official memo.
No. 78 dated 6th
may 1890

By Order,

BANGALORE, 31st March 189/ } Asst Chief Secretary

Draft
SLR
30.3.91.
AR

32

The fact that both Shiray
-Kollay and Chekollay
Firkas, Came to Bhaskara
the Father of the Petitioner
by Gift is a truth or
otherwise, the possession
is contrary to the above
Statement, in as much
as the duties were per-
-formed by Nanjappa
and Nanjundiah, who
are the descendents of
the person who made
the Gift of it, from 1852
to 1883-1884 when Nanjun
-diah lost the appoint
ment with Huk for
having committed an
offence. on his dismi
-al Narayana Iyen
Was appointed b
Govt: Ther
Honnapp
Huk h

As for Herakolay Firka one Veerapuah was doing the duties from 1875 to 1885 when he was dismissed with Huck for having committed an offence.

Honnappa the Petr is a divided brother of the said Veerappa, is plain from the Record. Therefore Register the name of the Petitioners minor Son's name in the Register as Shanboge of Herakollay Firka and report about the man whom the Petitioner wants to appoint as his Gumastha.

No. 99 R

34 [redacted]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1206
dated 1st Septr 91 received at the
Dewan's Office from Honnappa
Sheikdar, Byagadahalli, Bēlur Taluk

is forwarded, together with its three
enclosures, to the Dy Commr,
Hassan Distt, for
submission of Progs
and a precis thereof

By Order,

AS

For Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
189/.

To the same Memo No. 153 dy 11/2/92 —
Hassan Dist dy 5/3/92 —
No. 820

1-11-2-92-4

7/11/16

Drafted as per
C's order [redacted]

endorsement 16/11/92.

No. 8228
Secy.

36

Dy Commissioners Office Hassan

Dated Hassan 5th March 1892

From (16)

The Dy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your —
Jeriyad Memo No. 99 of the 16th
November 1891, forwarding a
petition from Hanumappa, Shanbhag
of Byagadahalli Hobli, of Manjara
-bad Taluk, regarding the Shanbhogi
of Chikolé Seeka, Belur Taluk,
I have the honor to submit the
records connected with the case
with a memo thereon.—

2. The petition with enclosures
received with the above is herewith
returned.— I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant;

Illegible

Dy Commissioner

37

Memo

In 1883 Narayandaya Shanbhogue of Chikolé Fonka of the Bélur Taluk misappropriated Rs 63-14-3 which he had collected from the Ryots. That his mis-appropriation should remain undetected, he tore off the papers of his Khuridee on which the entries of Collection had been made and substituted other paper for the papers numbered as before and blotted them with ink to represent the Taluk Stamp. This was however brought to light and he was there-upon removed from his office. The Shankar assumed charge of the office. Subsequently Narayandaya was prosecuted, convicted of the offence punishable under Sections 466, 204 409 and 218 of the Indian Penal Code and

Note.
The Records connected with this fact are apparently filed with Criminal Proceedings. Vide Subdivisional Officers No. 846/281 Dated 20th Sept. 1883.

and sentenced to 4 years rigorous imprisonment. The Amildar was directed to select a competent and trustworthy person for the post.

2. In the meanwhile one Duggaiya, Shanbogue of Padavalahi Firka, of the Taluk and brother of the accused having offered to make good the amount defalcated by his brother the charge of the office was given him temporarily. Duggaiya paid Rs 63-14-2 and credited to Government. Some time after he stated that he was ill and was not able to perform the duties of the Firka and that Veerappaiya, Shanbogue of the adjoining Anekole Firka may be appointed in his stead. Veerappaiya was accordingly directed to take charge of the office. He took

took charge and completed the accounts for the ensuing Jamabundy.—

3. One Narrenappa, before Duggaiya was relieved by Virappaiya, petitioned to the Sub Divisional Officer, requesting that he should be appointed to the post. The petition was sent to the Taluk for report and for ascertaining if the petitioner was prepared to furnish a security of Rs 300/-

4. A report from the Amildar received at this time was to the effect that the work could not be managed if Duggaiya who had already a finka of his own were appointed.—

5. Veerappaya who was then doing duty petitioned that if Duggaiya were not appointed he should be appointed as he

was

was the nearest heir. Ramaya, Shanbogue of Andelé Taka petitioned that he and his brother Suttaya were equal Huddars and should be appointed. With reference to Duggaya the Sub Divisional Officer directed the Amildar to give a notice to Duggaya informing him that he would be continued in the office if he furnished the required security within 7 days from the date of the notice, or Narrenjar, who had already offered to furnish the security required of him, would be appointed.

6. On the petition of Ramaya the Sub Divisional Officer directed the Amildar to ascertain if the persons referred to in the petition were prepared to furnish the required security. Notices were issued

might be registered as the Authdar and warned to appoint a Competent Gumasta to carry on the work. He further directed that Narrenjee the acting incumbent and one unconnected with the family might be Confirmed as Gumasta if the petitioner assented to the proposal, or if he failed to produce a Competent man such as the Sub Divisional Officer might approve. In reply a further report in this office No. 395 of the 23d. July 1885 was made stating recommending the Confirmation of Narrenjee in this office with Authr. Narrenjee was Confirmed in the Dewani Proceedings No. 3100/120 of the 23d. August 1885.

9. Orders were accordingly issued on 6th September 1885 in this Office

Office Exh. 779 which is filed with the Records connected with the Champagne of Hirtzlé (Joint Exh. 16). —

W. Winnacunnet
For Hfdz Commissioner
Hafgan Dietrich

48

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

No. 29 dt 25/4/92

To

The Dy: Commr
Hassan Dist

Dated

Despatched 23rd March/92

Received

Enclosures No. "1".

General No. 14948 ✓ No.

No. 196

From his letter No 828 of 5th March/92 submitting Revenue proceedings connected with the petition from Honnabba, Shekdar of Byagadahalli hobli, Manjarabad Taluk, regarding his claim for the Shanbogi of Chikkoli Fikka

---

With reference to the above I have the honor to request that the Dy: Commr will submit in his Case the original records subsequent to the year 1885.

2. The Revenue papers are returned for reference & re-submission.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Draft
21/3/92

By order
21st/92
Under Secretary

49

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
487
14 APR 92
P. 3

1892

From

The Deputy Commissioner (18)
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 12
Despatched 13 } April 1892.
Received

Enclosures No. one file of P.P.

General No. 29.
No.

Submitting the original records connected with the case of Chickkole Sinka subsequent to the year 1885, as called for

Pub Deptt Mr 14/4

"Venkatnarain" for present the Chickkole Sinka Case as called for

Rec'd 22/4

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 524

Name of Petitioner. Narana Iyengar Shanbhog

Village. Chikkole.

Taluk. Belur

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 26th August-92

Date of Receipt. 29th August-92.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. one

ABSTRACT.

In 1885, Petitioner was permanently appointed by Govt to the Shanbhogi of Chikkole Jirka, about which one Honnappayya has now preferred an appeal against the Dy Comr's order. Virappayya, Honnappayya's brother was for a time the Baravardar Shanbhog of Hirikole Jirka and lost the office as well as the hak for misappropriating Govt money; and thereupon in 1885 the Dy Comr appointed Petitioner temporarily to this office, which he still holds.

Govt Secy
Bang 2nd
28th Sept 92
3 C

29/9/92

Refs have not been looked up as yet - Order not attended to properly recollect having read a file in connection with Shanbhogs of Chikkolale & Hirekolale jirkas Look up refs carefully & submit with them

29/9/92

12-10-92 Submitted to Mr Council

13/10/92. Submitted to Mr Council in Court 11-11-92

Honnappayya claimed the Shanbhogi of Hirikole Zilla to be his hereditary hak and to have been transferred to his family, with a deed of gift, by the original hakdars. Petitioner hears that the Offg Dy Commr has made Honnappayya's son the Bara-vardar of Hirikole Zilla. Petitioner states that the deed of gift is a forged one; that, neither Chikkole nor Hirikole is Honnappayya's hereditary hak, that Virappayya obtained from the original hakdar the Shanbhogi of Hirikole and lost it; and so his brother Honnappayya has no right whatever to it. He prays that this petition may be put up with the Appeal file, and that he may be confirmed in the post of Shanbhogi which he has held for the last 7 years.

S.C.

53

ಸಂ

ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ಇಲಾಖೆ
24 8 92
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಂ ಪಿಆರ್ 24 ಆರ್
29-8-92

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು
ಮತ್ತು ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ

ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಆಯೋಗವು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡತಗಳ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಳಂಬವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡತಗಳ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಳಂಬವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಆಯೋಗವು ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

— ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವಿಕೇಂದ್ರೀಕರಣ —

ಕಡತಗಳ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಳಂಬವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಆಯೋಗವು ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

— ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವಿಕೇಂದ್ರೀಕರಣ —

ಕಡತಗಳ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಳಂಬವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಆಯೋಗವು ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

— ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವಿಕೇಂದ್ರೀಕರಣ —

ಕಡತಗಳ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಳಂಬವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಆಯೋಗವು ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಕೆಲವು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು ಮುಷ್ಕರ ಹೂಡಿದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರ ಸಂಬಳ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು ಮುಷ್ಕರ ಹೂಡಿದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರ ಸಂಬಳ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರ ಸಂಬಳ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡ

ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರ

(signed) J. Clerk.

"True copy"
compd.
Record Keeper
Date 15-6-92

For Amildar
Taluk Relur
15/6/92

9-6-92

15-6-92

12-7-92

353 -4- -8

No. 1206-

8-5-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
8- 5- 1893

Endorsement granted to Hannappa of Byagadahalli Belur Taluk

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1206 dated 1st September 1891 appealing against the order of the Deputy Comr. of Hassan declining to remove Narniengar from the office of Shanbhog of Chik Kolale and to confer it on his minor son. —

Petitioner is informed that as Narniengar was appointed Shanbhog of Chick Kolale Firka by order of Government after the Hakdar Nunjundia and Virupia had forfeited their hak by committing criminal breach of trust, and as the alleged gift to Petitioners father was not followed by possession and the office continued to be in the possession of the alleged donor's family, Petitioner's claim is inadmissible and his appeal is therefore dismissed. —

"By Order"

for Chief Secretary

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

62

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

(21)

Appeal No. 1206 of 1892.

C. F. 72-87-10

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Hommappa
by M. Venkatramia
of Byagadahalli,
Belur Taluk.

Narsingar
by M. Ramabrama
Chetty

Decision. dated 20 April 1893.

The dispute is in regard to the Shanbhogship of Thikkolale Arika of the Belur Taluk.

Both Nanjundia and Virupia who were the hakdars were convicted of having committed Criminal Breach of trust and forfeited their hak and the present Respondent was confirmed in the Shanbhogship by an order of Government dated 28th August 1885, No 3100/120. The Appellant, who is Virupias divided brother, preferred a claim to the office in 1887, on the ground that his father Bhaskaria obtained the office by gift from his maternal grand-father Puttanna and his sons. The alleged gift, even if true, was not followed by possession as it is proved and admitted that Nanjundia and his father were in possession and enjoyment ~~for~~ of the office

for

for more than 30 years prior to 1885 when Narsingar became the Shanbhog. The present claim of appellant Honniappa is, therefore inadmissible. The appeal is dismissed.

By order
1/5/93

18081
Pet-F. 285-92

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan, with the records received with his letter No 29 dated 12 April 1892.

By order
for Chief Secretary.

By post
Registered.
Two Rupees
Stamp affixed
Sd 20.8.00

367

367A
20-8-2000

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਵਿਚ ਕੁਝ ਕਹਿਣ ਤੋਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਇਹ ਜਾਣ ਲੈਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਕਿਸ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਕਹਾਣੀਕਾਰ ਹਨ। ਉਹ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਨੂੰ ਕਿਸ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਵਿਧਾ ਸਮਝਦੇ ਹਨ। ਉਹ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਦੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਤੱਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਵਧੇਰੇ ਮਹੱਤਵ ਦਿੰ

06

(27)

190

No. 1259

Name of Petitioner Honnappaiya Shanbhogg

Village Hirekole

Taluk Tagare hobli Belur

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 15-10-01

Date of Receipt 16-10-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

with reference to his pre- vious petitions in re his luck to the Shanbhogi of chikkole agrka, which was given to one Bhartur Narasa Iyenga in the year 1885 without proper enquiry

Prays for restoration of the ancestral luck to him

26/10/01

open file brought upto date.

19.12.01

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.



72

PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG. NO. 1259R
DATE 16-10-01

1259

P. N. Krishnamurthy Esqr
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

Two Rupee Stamp affixed

Most Respected Sir

16/10/01 I the poor applicant, Honnappayya
Hirikole Shanbogue Sagare Hobali Belur
Taluk Hassan District most respectfully
beg to lay the following few lines to your honors
kind consideration and favourable disposal.

My ancestors were enjoying Hirikole and
Chikkole Scales from the last several years
as shanbogues. Now it has been disposed
in some other way from the last 16 years
and it is lost from my Hakkadari
in my absence. According to the proceedings
of Dewan Rangacharlu Esqr in concern.
Hakkadari I got the Shanbogue ship
of Hirikole Scale and the other Chikkole
Scale is not disposed without enquiry.
The Government has pleased to appoint
one Bhartur Narsa Iengar on Chikkole
Scale in the time of Amildar Keshav Iengar
in the year 1885. This may be minutely
thought over how this happened.

I humbly appealed to the late Dewan of
Mysore more than 50 times but owing
to my misfortune it is not considered
well. When your honor visited Halebide
in the year 1896, I personally & humbly
gave application to your honor with 2 rupees
stamp that too is not considered owing
to my afficulties. As your honor for my
greatest fortune raised to the highest post
I patiently now wait to make up my sorrow
If I think that the loss of Chikkole scale
I feel very much and think of my ancestors
good name, your honor too may feel very
much in such cases as your honor knows
of the ancestral (property in) Hakkadare
ng humbly therefore beg to place this poor
o for your honor's kind notice and to establish
ry Champagne Hakkadari or Chikkole
Scale. For which I and the members of
ry family pray for your honor's high family
nd prosperity.

I beg to remain
most respected Sir
Your most obedient humble servant

10.9.04

Chikkole Champagne Belur Taluq:

74

R 1259 P (28) 21-12-1964

15

8-5-1893 ಕ್ಕೆ ಆ 1206 ನೆಯ ನಂ: ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ

ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ

ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ

ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರ ಕೆಲಸದ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿದಿನ

ಹತ್ತು ಗಂಟೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೀರದಂತೆ ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿ

21-12-1901 ರಂದು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ

ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ.

By Order

D/C

for Secy.

ಕಳಿಸಿ

21/12

Mysore

Petition No: 1206.

Appellant Honnappa Shekdar & Respt: Narsingrao Belur Taluk.

In accordance with the Register of 1868 one Ramjundaiya was the Shanbhog of Hirikolale & 8 other villages. In 1875-76 Appellant's younger brother Virappaiya set up his claim to the Shanbhogi & succeeded in getting from the Baravardar Ramjundaiya Hirikolale & 4 other villages, the latter continuing as Shanbhog of Chikkolale & 3 other villages. Thus the charge was divided into two Tikas in 1875-76. Ramjundaiya & Virappaiya were related to each other as

11

Shanbhogi appeal as his grandfather Ry in 1855-a. Ry to Subedar Nimpunkat beg committed Vempat appeal this name was added the Rs' & Name for emp' as Ram' Vempat also committed

in 1887 appl brother of Vempat claim the Shanbhogi appl of his father in 1854/55-

shewn in the subjoined tree -



In 1883 Ranjundeiya Shembhog of the Chickolale Firka was convicted of Criminal breach of trust & other offences & was sentenced to 4 years rigorous imprisonment. On the dismissal of Ranjundeiya Narasingar who furnished a security of Rs 300/- as called for was appointed in 1884 as Shembhog subject to confirmation by the Govt. The appointment

and brother of the
deposed Veerappayy
represented to the Dy
Commr Hassan,
that he was the Hakdar
of the two Tirkas,
and that his Hak
should be conferred
on his adoptedson
Sitaramayya (Son
of Veerappayya the
deposed man) appoint
-ing his soninlaw
Venkatasamanya
as Sumasta. The
Amildar was order
-ed to submit a
Memo with report
and he reported
that according to
Devarajs order

N

No. 927-34/17 of 9-5-87. X

and No. 902-42 dated 8-7-87 Hanumappa's son might be confirmed in the office as the Hakdar. As the boy Sitaramayya was dead, the office was to be conferred on Hanumappa's other son Srikantiah alias Chennakesiah - a minor boy of two years - with Hanumappa's son-in-law Venkataramanayya as guardian according to Hanumappa's request.

An

X Govt. Order No. 927-34/17 (Abstract) It is not pre... in extreme cases to depri... of its hereditary right by a... a stranger; the proper cour... in the event of no direct... with totally separate interes... available, is to appo... office a stranger for a t... with reversion of the offi... of dismissed official

22

30

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 /.

mitted to the Council
References -
Petr/91 AC
/
Call for Pro
ceo
7/10/91

No. 1206.

Name of Petitioner. Honnappa Shankar

Village. Byagadahal

Taluk. Balur

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 1-9-91

Date of Receipt. 2-9-91.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 3.

ABSTRACT.

7 States that he is the Hun
in Chanboque of 9 villages
Consisting of 2 Firkas v
Hiraykolli and Chik

In accordance
the Dewan's Prv: No: 31
dated 28th August 188
Petitioner's minor So
name was registered
Chanboque of one Fi
(Hirayholly) Consisti
of 5 Villages and
other who Registered
the name of Or

Varayana Iyengar.

petitioner prays that
the other Firka also Shd
be Registered in his minor
son's name as the said
Sanbogee was given to
his father by way of
Gift by his (father's)
maternal ~~Son~~ Uncle, and
e performed the duties
~~more than~~ 3½ Years

by Com's order No: 8,
ded 15th May 1891 to
Amildar of Balu

of the two Firkas
ich the Shaikdar Honnappa
ays, one Chikollay
been confirmed to
Iayana Iyengar in
ordence with the
dar's pro: No: 3100
120
28th August 18

Noted

12056

(General Serial No. 30). P. 62

189/.

From The Dy Commissioner Kaladgi

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay Bangalore.

Dated 5th March 1892

Despatched 5th

Received

Enclosures No. 1, petition & Vernl. Proc. —

General No. 8928

No. 2067

Submitting Vernacular proceedings with a memo thereof on the petition from Hanmabba, Shankar of Bagalgadadaki Hakki, Inamdar of Ramdurg Taluk, regarding his claim for the office of Shanbhogue of Chikkola Tirka, Bailhongal Taluk.

Petn Depte Mark 7/3.

Submitted to the Council - With Refs: Mark 14/3

1/3/92

Submitted.

The grounds of appeal have been noted.

What has taken place subsequently to 1885 has been gathered from the Copy of the order (270 ones) by Hpt, & noted in the memo submitted herewith.

The original record subsequent to 1885 have not been received.

1/3/92. Mark 17.3.92

R' 21/3

A.S. 17/3/92

Orders to be issued in accordance with the recommendation

19/3/92

Chief Secretary

ipned to Veerappaiya, Duggaiya
Subbaiya, Shanbague of Mattigatta
and Ramaiya. None of them pre-
-sented themselves with security
Narmeiyar, who was also served
with a similar notice tendered
the security money in cash, which
was received and placed in the
Savings Bank as was instructed
by the Sub Divisional Officer.-

7. The Sub Divisional Officer
observed in his order No. 103 Dated
27th May 1884 that neither Dugga,
nor Veerappaiya was equal to the
duties of the Jemka in addition to
the duties of his own and directed
that the deposit tendered by
Naraneiyar should be accepted
on his executing the required do-
-cuments in due form. Naraneiya
having complied with the orders
he was appointed and was given
charge

charge of the office in due course.
In the meanwhile Varadappaya petitioned
to the Dewan. The petition was re-
ferred to the Sub Divisional Officer
with Ferijad Dewan No. 652 of the
14th November 1884. In reply the
Sub Divisional Officer submitted
a report on the subject in his
No. 1024/314 of the 25th Idem.

8. On the 28th March 1885, a
further report to the Secretary in
this office No: 1314/411 with all Vernacular
Correspondence was made with
reference to the and another reference
in this office No. 845/281 of the 20th
September 1883 bearing on the
subject of Inam lands connected
with this office. The Dewan in
his Proceedings Camp No: 728 of
11th July 1885 directed that Varadappaya
might

50

(18)

No. 29 in V.P. file

Deputy Commissioner's Office Hassan

Dated. Hassan, 12th April 1892.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No.
14948/196 of the 23rd Ultimo, regarding
the Office of Shanbogue's of Chikkole
Firka, I have the honor to re-
-submit the papers received
with the above together with a
File connected with Chikkole
Shanbogue which Contains the
records of Chikkole Firka, subse-
-quent to the year 1885. The
papers Connected with Chikkole
Cannot

Cannot be conveniently separated from Kirkoldie Correspondence.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

[redacted]
U.S. Deputy Commissioner
Hasan District

Form 367 Book-debt 1-920

Statement of Book-debts due to the Company

Name of Debtor	Address	Amount	Date when due	Remarks
Messrs. Ramdas & Co.	Cloth Market, Delhi	₹ 4,250	15-3-1920	Goods supplied
Shri Govind Prasad	Chandni Chowk, Delhi	₹ 1,875	30-4-1920	Overdue
Messrs. Ramdas & Co.	Cloth Market, Delhi	₹ 4,250	15-3-1920	Goods supplied
Shri Govind Prasad	Chandni Chowk, Delhi	₹ 1,875	30-4-1920	Overdue

Total ₹ 12,250

Certified that the above is a true statement of book-debts due to the Company.

Director

Secretary

Statement of Book-debts due to the Company

Name of Debtor	Address	Amount	Date when due	Remarks
Messrs. Ramdas & Co.	Cloth Market, Delhi	₹ 4,250	15-3-1920	Goods supplied
Shri Govind Prasad	Chandni Chowk, Delhi	₹ 1,875	30-4-1920	Overdue
Messrs. Ramdas & Co.	Cloth Market, Delhi	₹ 4,250	15-3-1920	Goods supplied
Shri Govind Prasad	Chandni Chowk, Delhi	₹ 1,875	30-4-1920	Overdue

Total ₹ 12,250

Certified that the above is a true statement of book-debts due to the Company.

Director

Secretary